

# *The Lyapunov rank of an improper cone*

## *Part II - Lie algebra*

Michael Orlitzky



# RECAP

In Part I, we generalized existing results for proper cones to the class of closed convex cones.

Closed convex cones are specified in terms of *generators* rather than extreme vectors (which a closed convex cone may not have).

# RECAP

To perform operations in subspaces, we tag a cone  $K$  with its ambient space  $V$  and write  $K_V$ .

The result is called a cone-space pair. All operations on closed convex cones can be defined on cone-space pairs in an obvious way.

# RECAP

One cone-space pair  $K_S$  will appear frequently.

We define

$$S := \text{span}(K_V)$$

so that  $K_S$  is “ $K$  restricted to its own span.”

# RECAP

If  $G_1$  generates  $K_V$  and  $G_2$  generates its dual, then the Lyapunov-like property,

$$\langle L(x), s \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } (x, s) \in C(K_V)$$

need only be checked on  $x \in G_1$  and  $s \in G_2$ .

# RECAP

The codimension formula describes the space of all Lyapunov-like transformations on  $K_V$ :

$$\mathbf{LL}(K_V) = \text{span}(\{s \otimes x \mid (x, s) \in C(K_V)\})^\perp.$$

We need only consider generators above, thus we can compute  $\mathbf{LL}(K_V)$  when  $K_V$  is polyhedral.

# RECAP

The pointed/solid duality reduces the Lyapunov rank computation to that of a proper cone:

**Theorem.**

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(K_V) &= \beta(K_{SP}) \\ &+ \text{lin}(K) \cdot \dim(K) \\ &+ \text{codim}(K_V) \cdot \dim(V).\end{aligned}$$

# RECAP

The proof of this theorem uses the fact that  $K_V$  is isomorphic to  $K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}$ . And,

$$\mathbf{LL}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$A \in \mathbf{LL}(K_S)$$

$$B = \text{whatever}$$

$$D = \text{whatever.}$$



# THE PROBLEM

An interesting connection [2] for proper cones:

**Theorem (Gowda/Tao).**

The following are equivalent when  $K_V$  is proper.

- $L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ .
- $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $L \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_V))$ .

# THE PROBLEM

So what do we need to prove for cone-space pairs?

$L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$



$e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

One implication requires no modification.

# THE PROBLEM

## Proposition.

Let  $K_V$  be a cone-space pair. If  $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ .

## Proof.

Let  $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We will see that  $\langle L(x), s \rangle = 0$  for any  $(x, s) \in C(K_V)$ .

# THE PROBLEM

**Proof (continued).** Since  $e^{tL}(x) \in K$ ,

$$\langle e^{tL}(x), s \rangle = \langle [e^{tL} - I](x), s \rangle \geq 0.$$

We can divide by  $1/t > 0$ :

$$\left\langle \underbrace{\frac{1}{t} [e^{tL} - I]}_{\text{looks derivativy}}(x), s \right\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

# THE PROBLEM

**Proof (continued).** Take the limit to turn this into a derivative evaluation,

$$L = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} [e^{tL} - I] \right\} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} e^{tL} \right|_{t=0}$$

Thus  $\langle L(x), s \rangle \geq 0$ .

The same trick with  $-L$  gives  $\langle L(x), s \rangle \leq 0$ .  $\square$

# THE PROBLEM

We still need implication in the other direction:

$$L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V) \implies e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V).$$

How might we prove something like this for closed convex cones?

# PROPER CONES

The proof for proper cones centers on a theorem [5] of Schneider and Vidyasagar.

## **Theorem.**

Let  $K$  be a proper cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $A$  be a matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then  $A \in \Sigma(K)$  if and only if  $e^{tA}(K) \subseteq K$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

# PROPER CONES

Note that  $\Sigma(K)$  is nothing but  $-\mathbf{Z}(K)$ . If we stick a negative sign in front of  $A$ , we get:

## **Theorem.**

Let  $K$  be a proper cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $A$  be a matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Then  $-A \in \Sigma(K)$  if and only if  $e^{tA}(K) \subseteq K$  for all  $t \leq 0$ .



# PROPER CONES

Now if both  $A$  and  $-A$  are in  $\Sigma(K)$ , then they're both in  $\mathbf{Z}(K)$ , and thus  $A \in \mathbf{LL}(K)$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\in \mathbf{LL}(K) \\ &\iff \\ e^{tA}(K) &\subseteq K \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

# PROPER CONES

We recognize that  $(e^{tA})^{-1} = e^{-tA}$ , and clearly,

$$e^{-tA}(K) \subseteq K \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}$$



$$e^{tA}(K) \subseteq K \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Combining the two,  $e^{tA} \in \text{Aut}(K)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This is equivalent [1] to  $A \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K))$ .

# PROPER CONES

As a result, we have the Gowda/Tao equivalence:

- $L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ .
- $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $L \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_V))$ .

# PROPER CONES

If Schneider's result extends to closed convex cones, the Lie algebra connection will too.

Schneider's proof begins, "Let  $A \in \Sigma(C)$ . Then by Theorem 2 and Lemma 6..."

So we work backwards.

# PROPER CONES

Lemma 6 states:

*Let  $C$  be a proper cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the closure of  $\Sigma^+(C)$  is  $\Sigma(C)$ .*

So what's  $\Sigma^+(C)$ ? It's the set of all *strictly cross-positive* matrices on  $C$ , of course.

# PROPER CONES

## Definition.

Let  $C$  be a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . An  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called *strictly cross-positive* on  $C$  if for all  $0 \neq y \in C$ ,  $0 \neq z \in C^*$  with  $y \perp z$  we have  $\langle Ay, z \rangle > 0$ .

Uh oh. Since both  $y$  and  $-y$  belong to a subspace, Lemma 6 cannot hold for subspaces.

# AUTOMORPHISMS

What *can* we do (grasping at straws)?

If we work with the convenient form of a non-solid cone, we can actually compute its automorphism group. Suppose

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}).$$

# AUTOMORPHISMS

Well,  $C \equiv 0$ , otherwise there's some  $x \in K_S$  with  $C(x) \neq 0$  and thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(x) \\ C(x) \end{bmatrix} \notin \begin{bmatrix} K_S \\ \{0\}_{S^\perp} \end{bmatrix}$$



# AUTOMORPHISMS

Now  $B$  and  $D$  can be *almost* anything, since,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_S \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(K_S) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

But we want  $L^{-1}$  to exist, so  $D^{-1}$  must.

And we see that we will need  $A \in \text{Aut}(K_S)$ .

# AUTOMORPHISMS

This is also a sufficient condition for membership in  $\text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp})$ . Thus,

$$\text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$A \in \text{Aut}(K_S)$   
 $B = \text{whatever}$   
 $D \in \text{Aut}(S^\perp)$ .

# AUTOMORPHISMS

This looks a lot like our description of

$$\mathbf{LL}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$A \in \mathbf{LL}(K_S)$   
 $B = \text{whatever}$   
 $D = \text{whatever} \dots$

but it's not clear how that helps.

# AUTOMORPHISMS

Suppose we could get the result for  $K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}$ .  
Could we extend it to  $K_V$ ?

**Proposition.** Let  $K_V \cong J_W$  be isomorphic cone-space pairs with  $K_V = \psi(J_W)$ . Then,

- $\text{Aut}(J_W) = \psi \text{Aut}(K_V) \psi^{-1}$ .
- $\mathbf{LL}(J_W) = \psi \mathbf{LL}(K_V) \psi^{-1}$ .
- $e^{\psi L \psi^{-1}} = \psi e^L \psi^{-1}$ .

# AUTOMORPHISMS

**Proof.** The first two proofs are similar.

$$L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$$

$$\iff \langle L(x), s \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } (x, s) \in C(K_V)$$

$$\iff \langle L(\psi^{-1}y), \psi^{-1}t \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } (y, t) \in C(J_W)$$

$$\iff \langle \psi L \psi^{-1}(y), t \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } (y, t) \in C(J_W)$$

$$\iff \psi L \psi^{-1} \in \mathbf{LL}(J_W)$$

# AUTOMORPHISMS

**Proof (continued).**

For the exponential, we realize that  $e^{\psi L \psi^{-1}}$  is a sum of powers of  $\psi L \psi^{-1}$ . But,

$$(\psi L \psi^{-1})^n = \underbrace{\psi L \psi^{-1} \psi L \psi^{-1} \cdots \psi L \psi^{-1}}_{n \text{ times}},$$

so the  $\psi$  all cancel except at the ends. □

# AUTOMORPHISMS

Now a result for  $K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}$  becomes one for  $K_V$ .

**Proposition.**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LL}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) &= \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp})) \\ &\quad \Updownarrow \\ \mathbf{LL}(K_V) &= \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_V)) \end{aligned}$$

# AUTOMORPHISMS

## Proof.

Let  $\phi(K_V) = K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}$  and  $L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi L \phi^{-1} &\in \mathbf{LL}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) \\ &\Updownarrow \\ e^{t\phi L \phi^{-1}} &= \phi e^{tL} \phi^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) \end{aligned}$$



# AUTOMORPHISMS

**Proof (continued).**

But we can rearrange the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \in \phi^{-1} \mathbf{LL} (K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) \phi = \mathbf{LL} (K_V) & & \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ e^{tL} \in \phi^{-1} \text{Aut} (K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) \phi = \text{Aut} (K_V) & & \end{array}$$



# AUTOMORPHISMS

Where we are: if we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} L &\in \mathbf{LL}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) \\ &\implies \\ e^{tL} &\in \text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

then we can use the last proposition to show the same thing for any  $K_V$ .

# AUTOMORPHISMS

Great. Now all we need is some high-powered mathematics to give us the result for  $K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}$ .

Wait a minute, what was  $\mathbf{LL}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp})$ ?

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \in \mathbf{LL}(K_S)$$

$$B = \text{whatever}$$

$$D = \text{whatever.}$$

# AUTOMORPHISMS

What if we just... exponentiate this?

$$e^{tL} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}^k .$$

# AUTOMORPHISMS

The powers of an upper-triangular matrix are upper-triangular!

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tL} &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} A^k & \widetilde{B} \\ 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} D^k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{tA} & \widetilde{B} \\ 0 & e^{tD} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Ha ha!

# AUTOMORPHISMS

$K_S$  might not be proper, but if it is, this matches our description of  $\text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp})$ :

$$\text{Aut}(K_S \times \{0\}_{S^\perp}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$A \in \text{Aut}(K_S)$$

$$B = \text{whatever}$$

$$D \in \text{Aut}(S^\perp).$$

# AUTOMORPHISMS

Why?

- If  $K_S$  is proper, then  $e^{tA} \in \text{Aut}(K_S)$  by the Gowda/Tao result.
- The exponential  $e^{tD}$  is always invertible.
- Whatever  $\widetilde{B}$  is, it doesn't matter.

# AUTOMORPHISMS

How can we make  $K_S$  proper?

**Lemma.** Suppose  $K_V$  is pointed. Then the following are equivalent.

- $L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ .
- $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $L \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_V))$ .

**Proof.** Just exponentiate. □



# AUTOMORPHISMS

Can we get rid of the pointed requirement?

**Proposition.** For any cone-space pair  $K_V$ ,

- $\text{Aut}(K_V^*) = \{A^* \mid A \in \text{Aut}(K_V)\}$ .
- $\mathbf{LL}(K_V^*) = \{L^* \mid L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)\}$ .
- $e^{t(L^*)} = (e^{tL})^*$ .

(These proofs are trivial.)

# AUTOMORPHISMS

We can reuse our trick from Part I.

**Lemma.** Suppose  $K_V$  is solid. Then the following are equivalent.

- $L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ .
- $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $L \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_V))$ .

# AUTOMORPHISMS

**Proof.**

$K_V^*$  is pointed, so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^* \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V^*) & \iff & L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ e^{tL^*} \in \mathbf{Aut}(K_V^*) & \iff & e^{tL} \in \mathbf{Aut}(K_V) \end{array}$$

□

# AUTOMORPHISMS

Where we are:

We started by assuming that  $K_V$  was pointed...so that  $K_S$  would be proper...so that we could apply the Gowda/Tao theorem.

But we just found a version of the Gowda/Tao theorem that requires only a solid cone.

# AUTOMORPHISMS

**Theorem.** Let  $K_V$  be a cone-space pair. The following are equivalent.

- $L$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ .
- $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $L \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(K_V))$ .

**Proof.** Go back and prove everything without pointedness ( $K_S$  is already solid). □

# AUTOMORPHISMS

**Example.** Let  $K = V = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then  $\text{Aut}(K_V) = \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and we know [1] that,

$$\text{Lie}(\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R}),$$

the set of  $n \times n$  real matrices. And of course,

$$\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \exp(\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})).$$

# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

## Theorem.

Suppose  $K_V$  is a polyhedral cone-space pair with finite generating set  $G$ .

1. If every element of  $G$  is an eigenvector of  $L$ , then  $L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$ .
2. If  $L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$ , then every extreme vector of  $K_V$  is an eigenvector of  $L$ .

# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

## **Proof.**

Suppose every  $x \in G$  is an eigenvector of  $L$ . To verify the Lyapunov-like property on generators,

$$\langle L(x), s \rangle = \langle \alpha x, s \rangle = \alpha \langle x, s \rangle = 0,$$

because  $(x, s) \in C(K_V)$ .



# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

**Proof (continued).**

Suppose  $L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$ . Gowda and Tao use  $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  to prove that every  $\text{Ext}(K_V)$  is an eigenvector of  $L$  when  $K_V$  is proper.

The same argument works using the new result that  $e^{tL} \in \text{Aut}(K_V)$  for cone-space pairs.

# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

Everything should be made  
as simple as possible, but  
not simpler.

— Albert Einstein

(maybe)

# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

**Theorem (incorrect).**

Suppose  $K_V$  is a polyhedral cone-space pair.

Then  $L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$  if and only if every element of  $\text{Ext}(K_V)$  is an eigenvector of  $L$ .

# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

Looks great, but is too simple.

Suppose every element of  $\text{Ext}(K_V)$  is an eigenvector of  $L$ . Do we have  $L \in \mathbf{LL}(K_V)$ ?

- Works for proper cones.
- Works for  $K = V$ .
- So it's got to be true.

# MISCELLANEOUS RESULTS

**Example (counter).** Let  $K = \mathbb{R}^2$  in  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Clearly  $\text{Ext}(K_V) = \emptyset$ , yet not every  $L \in \mathcal{B}(V)$  is Lyapunov-like on  $K_V$ : we know that  $\beta(K_V) = 7$ .

Oops.

# FUTURE WORK

Our Lie algebra theorem is weaker than the result of Schneider and Vidyasagar stating that  $L \in \Sigma(K)$  if and only if  $e^{tL}(K) \subseteq K$ .

The maps  $P$  satisfying  $P(K) \subseteq K$  are called *positive maps* on  $K$ , and the set of all such maps is written  $\pi(K)$ .

# FUTURE WORK

The techniques we've developed can be used to generalize their theorem to one concerning  $\mathbf{Z}(K_V)$  and  $\pi(K_V)$  for a cone-space pair  $K_V$ .

When  $K_V$  is polyhedral, the set  $\pi(K_V)$  is a polyhedral closed convex cone, and we should be able to compute it.

# FUTURE WORK

The positive maps are interesting in their own right, and having an implementation may generate some conjectures about e.g.  $\beta(\pi(K_V))$ .

Moreover,  $\mathbf{Z}$ -transformations arise in dynamical systems, so we should be able to connect this work to that area and extend some of the results there to closed convex cones.



# REFERENCES I

- [1] A. Baker, *Matrix Groups*, Springer, London, 2002.
- [2] M.S. Gowda and J. Tao, *On the bilinearity rank of a proper cone and Lyapunov-like transformations*, *Mathematical Programming*, 147 (2014) 155-170.
- [3] J. Hilgert, K. Hofmann, and J. Lawson. *Lie groups, convex cones and semigroups*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989.

# REFERENCES II

- [4] M. Orlitzky. The Lyapunov rank of an improper cone (preprint).
- [5] H. Schneider and M. Vidyasagar, *Cross-positive matrices*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 7 (1970) 508–519.